

**MINUTES OF THE 13TH MEETING OF THE BOARD OF MANAGEMENT OF SHRI LAL BAHADUR SHASTRI RASHTRIYA SANSKRIT VIDYAPEETHA, NEW DELHI HELD ON 22.07.2016 AT 10.00 A.M. IN THE COMMITTEE ROOM, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION, MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, SHASTRI BHAWAN, NEW DELHI-110 001**

**PRESENT**

1. Prof. Ramesh Kumar Pandey, - Chairperson  
Vice-Chancellor,  
Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri  
Rashtriya Sanskrit Vidyapeetha,  
New Delhi-110016
2. Dr. Sukhbir Singh Sandhu, - Member  
Joint Secretary (Central Universities & Languages)  
Department of Higher Education,  
Ministry of Human Resource Development,  
Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-110 001
3. Dr.Chand Kiran Saluja, - Member  
Director,  
Sanskrit Promotion Foundation,  
Vedabhavanam, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor, 11204/5,  
Gaushala Mandir Marg,  
Doriwalan, Delhi-110006
4. Prof. Krishna Kant Sharma, - Member  
Professor,  
Department of Vedic Darshan,  
Sanskritvidya Dharamvigyan Sankaya,  
Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005
5. Prof.Radhavallabh Tripathi, - Member  
Former Professor of Sanskrit,  
& Vice-Chancellor,  
M-83, Second Floor,  
South City, Gurgaon  
(Haryana)
6. Prof. Nagendra Jha, - Member  
Professor (Education),  
S.L.B.S.R.S Vidyapeetha,  
New Delhi-110016

*Or*

*Raf*

- |     |  |   |             |
|-----|--|---|-------------|
| 7.  | Prof. Harihar Trivedi,<br>Dean, Faculty of Veda Vedanga,<br>S.L.B.S.R.S Vidyapeetha,<br>New Delhi-110016   | - | Member      |
| 8.  | Prof. R.P.Pathak,<br>Dean, Faculty of Education,<br>S.L.B.S.R.S Vidyapeetha,<br>New Delhi-110016   | - | Member      |
| 9.  | Dr. (Ms.) Sangeeta Khanna,<br>Associate Professor,<br>S.L.B.S.R.S Vidyapeetha,<br>New Delhi-110016   | - | Member      |
| 10. | Shri S.Sitarama Murthy,<br>Deputy Secretary (L)<br>Govt. of India<br>Department of Higher Education,<br>Ministry of Human Resource Development,<br>Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-110 001 | - | Spl Invitee |
| 11. | Dr. BK Mohapatra,<br>Registrar,<br>S.L.B.S.R.S Vidyapeetha,<br>New Delhi-110016  | - | Secretary   |

Shri Chamu Krishna Shastri and Prof. V. Kutumba Shastri could not attend the meeting.

The Chairperson extended a warm welcome to the members present in the meeting. Prof.Harihar Trivedi, Member of the BoM performed Manglacharan. Subsequently, the following agenda items were taken up for consideration:

**Item No.12.1 To consider the minutes of the 12th meeting of the Board of Management held on 31.05.2016 for confirmation.**

The Board of Management considered the minutes of the 12<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 31.05.2016. It was noted that no discrepancies have been pointed out by any member within the stipulated period of time except the Joint Secretary (CU & L), M/o.HRD vide letter No. 1-5/2016-Skt.I dated 06.07.2016 in respect of the resolution no.12.6 & 12.8. *Accordingly, it was resolved to confirm the minutes of the 12<sup>th</sup> meeting in toto except resolution nos.12.6 & 12.8.*




**Item No.12.2 To consider and adopt the Secretary's Report regarding the action taken on minutes of the 11<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 31.05.2016.**

The Board of Management *resolved to note the Secretary's Report on the Action Taken on the 12th meeting of the Board of Management held on 31.05.2016.* As regards the Action Taken on the resolution No.11.5, it was submitted to the BoM that under Clause-21.0 of the UGC (Institution Deemed to be Universities) Regulations, 2010 read with Rule-54 of the MoA, the BoM is empowered to frame the rules of the institution. Thus, there is a need to clarify the position from the UGC. *Accordingly, the BoM resolved to seek clarification from the UGC with regard to the extant rule position whether the approval of UGC is required for framing the Bye-Laws of the Deemed to be Universities.*

**Item No.12.3 To consider and approve the minutes of the 7th meeting of the Finance Committee dated 29.06.2016.**

The Board of Management considered the minutes of the 7th meeting of the Finance Committee held on 29.06.2016 and *it was resolved to approve the minutes of the 7th meeting of the Finance Committee dated 29.06.2016 in toto.*

**Item No.12.4 To report the minutes of the first meeting of the Rashtriya Sanskrit Parishad held on 20.01.2016.**

*The Board of Management noted the minutes of the first meeting of Rashtriya Sanskrit Parishad dated 20.01.2016 for further necessary action, if any.*

**Item No.12.5 To consider the nomination of members of the Selection Committees for the post of Registrar and Controller of Examination as per the UGC's Regulations, 2010.**

The Board of Management considered the matter pertaining to the nomination of members on the Selection Committees for the post of Registrar and Controller of Examination as per the UGC's Regulations, 2010 *and it was resolved to nominate the following experts for the Selection Committees in accordance with the Clause-6.5 and 6.7 of the UGC's Regulations, 2010 as under:*

REGISTRAR	NAME(S) OF EXPERTS
One nominee of the Board of Management	Dr. Sukhbir Singh Sandhu, Joint Secretary (Central Universities & Languages), M/o.HRD
One expert appointed by the Board of Management who is not an employee of the Vidyapetha	Prof. R.S.Dubey, Vice-Chancellor, TM Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur University-812007
CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATION	
One nominee of the Board of Management	Prof.Radhavallabh Tripathi, Former Professor of Sanskrit, & Vice-Chancellor, of Rashtriya Sanskrit Sansthan, New Delhi
One expert appointed by the Board of Management who is not an employee of the Vidyapetha	Dr. K.P.Upadhyaya, Controller of Examination, BHU, Varanasi

**Item No.13.6 To consider and approve the recommendations of the Selection Committees regarding recruitment and promotion (CAS) against the teaching and non-teaching posts advertised vide Advt. No.01/2016 and Advt. No.02/2016.**

The Board of Management considered the recommendations of the Selection Committees regarding recruitment and promotion (CAS) against the teaching and non-teaching posts of the Vidyapeetha. After careful consideration, the BoM *resolved that the recommendations of the Selection Committee in respect of the following teaching positions be approved for implementation as these are in consonance with the NCTE guidelines :-*

SL.N O.	NAME OF CANDIDATE & FATHER'S NAME AND DATE OF SELECTION COMMITTEE	DEPARTMENT & SUBJECT
1.	Shiva Dutta Arya S/o Shiv Ram Arya (27.6.16)	Education (Environmental Education) OBC
2.	Surendra Mahto S/o Chattar Matho (27.6.16)	Education (Educational Management) OBC
3.	Vichari Lal Meena S/o Ram Swarop Meena (27.6.16) [Protection of Pay allowed]	Education (Educational Measurement & Evaluation) ST
4.	Ajay Kumar S/o Dayanand (27.6.2016)	Education (Adhunik Shikshan - Hindi) SC
5.	NFS	Education (Shastra Shikshan - Sahitya) SC
6.	Tamanna Kaushal D/o. Vijay Singh Kaushal (28.6.2016) [Protection of Pay allowed]	Education (Educational Psychology) UR
7.	Parmesh Kumar Sharma S/o Rati Ram Sharma (28.6.2016)	Education (Shastra Shikshan - Vyakaran) UR
8.	Pinki Malik D/o Raj Singh Malik (28.6.2016)	Education (Adhunik Shikshan - English) UR
9.	Arti Sharma D/o Hariom Sharma (29.6.2016)	Sanskrit Shikshan - UR
10.	Prem Singh Sikarwar S/o Man Singh (29.6.2016)	Sanskrit Shikshan - OBC
11.	Smt Savita Rai D/o Girija Shankar Rai (29.6.2016)	Education (Educational Technology) UR
12.	Ms. Mamta D/o Sabhajeet Pandey (30.6.2016)	Education (Educational Philosophy) UR

**CONTRACTUAL ENGAGEMENT OF FACULTIES IN EDUCATION (@ Rs.45000/- P.M.)**

SL.No.	NAME & FATHER'S NAME	SUBJECT
1.	SURENDRA MAHTO S/O CHHATTAR MAHTO FAILING WHICH JITENDER KUIMAR S/O NARAYAN DASS	SAHITYA SHIKSHAN- UR
2.	PRADEEP KUMAR JHA S/O SATYA DEV JHA FAILING WHICH RAKESH DUBEY S/O DAYA SHANKAR DUEBY	EDUCATIONAL PHILOSOPHY - UR
3.	ANITA RAI D/O AWADHESH KUMAR FAILING WHICH SUPRIYA DANG D/O NIKHIL DANG	ENGLISH EDUCATION - UR
4.	SHIV DUTT ARYA S/O SHIV RAM ARYA FAILING WHICH POOJA SINGHAL D/O YOGENDER SEHRAWAT	EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY - OBC
5.	SHIV DUTT ARYA S/O SHIV RAM ARYA FAILING WHICH BHARTI KAUDHAL D/O R.P.KAUSHAL	VYAKARAN SHIKSHAN - UR

*Ch*

*RKP*

Regarding the promotion of the teaching staff under the CAS of the UGC, the BoM *resolved that the recommendations of the Screening-cum-Evaluation Committee in respect of the following teaching staff be approved for implementation as these are in consonance with the UGC guidelines:-*

Dr. Ramanuj Upadhyay (13.7.2016) CAS	Ved - Associate Professor-CAS-5.7.2016
Dr. Devendra Prasad Mishra (13.7.2016) CAS	Ved - Rs.7000/- to Rs.8000/- 2.7.2016
Dr. Sundar Narayan Jha (13.7.2016) CAS	Ved - Rs.7000/- to Rs.8000/- 2.7.2016
Dr. Rajani Joshi Chaudhary (18.7.2016)-CAS	Professor under CAS w.e.f. 10.5.2016
Dr. Ram Salahi Dwived- (13.7.2016)-CAS	Vyakaran - Associate Professor 7.7.2016

As regards the recommendations of the Selection Committee in respect of the following teaching posts, the BoM *resolved that the recommendations of the Selection Committee in respect of the following teaching positions be approved subject to concurrence of the UGC in view of the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> amendment issued by the UGC in its Regulations, 2010 vide Notification dated 4.5.2016 and 11.7.2016 respectively.*

SL.N O.	NAME OF CANDIDATE & FATHER'S NAME AND DATE OF SELECTION COMMITTEE	DEPARTMENT & SUBJECT
1.	Dr.Desh Bandhu S/o.Ashok Kumar (12.7.2016)	Vastu Shastra - OBC
2.	Dr. Ashok Thapaliyal S/o B.R.Thapaliyal (12.7.2016)	Vastu Shastra- UR
3.	Dr. Pravesh Vyas S/o Rajesh Vyas (12.7.2016)	Vastu Shastra- UR
4.	Dr. Ram Narayan Dwivedi S/o S.N.P.Dwivedi (13.7.2016)	Vyakaran - Associate Professor- UR
5.	Dr. Naresh Kumar Bairwa S/o Tej Pal Bairwa (13.7.2016)	Vyakaran - SC [Assistant Professor]
6.	Dr. Sundar Narayan Jha (13.7.2016)	Ved - Associate Professor - UR
7.	Ms. Bejawada Kamakshamma D/o B. Ramanaiah (15.7.2016)	Sahitya - (Assistant Professor) OBC
8.	NFS (15.7.2016)	Sahitya - (Assistant Professor) UR
9.	Dr.Shiv Shankar Mishra S/o Shiv Dutt Mishra (16.7.2016)	Res. & Publ. (Associate Professor) Protection of Pay allowed. Promotion under CAS be considered after completion of probationary period)
10.	Aditya Pancholi S/o Dr. H.H. Panchali (18.7.2016)	Assistant Professor (Comp. Science)

*The BoM further resolved to note the recommendations of the Selection Committee in respect of the following Non-Teaching positions as none was found suitable for appointment.*

NON-TEACHING:		
1.	Assistant Registrar (Admn.) & Accounts	11.07.2016- N.F.S

*It was further resolved to confirm the decision on the table for implementation. While approving the recommendations of the afore-cited Selection Committees, the BoM resolved to adopt the following UGC's regulations for implementation:*

*R.K.P.*

*D. S. S.*

- (i) Fourth amendment in the UGC's Regulations on Minimum Qualifications for Appointment of Teachers and other Academic Staff in Universities and Colleges and Measures for the Maintenance of Standards in Higher Education, 2016 duly notified vide UGC's Notification No.F.1-2/2016(PS/Amendment) dated 11.7.2016.
- (ii) University Grants Commission (Minimum Standards and Procedure for Award of M.PHIL./PH.D Degrees) Regulations, 2016 issued vide UGC's NOTIFICATION No.F.1-2/2016(PS/Amendment) dated 5th May, 2016
- (iii) UGC [INSTITUTIONS DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITIES] REGULATIONS, 2016 issued vide Notification No. 1-3/2016 (CPPPI/DU) dated 11.7.2016.

**Item No.13.7 To consider the proposal with regard to the initiation of disciplinary action against Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra, former Assistant Registrar in accordance with the report of the Inquiry Committee dated 31.01.2016 under Rule 9 of the CCS (Pension Rules), 1972.**

The Board of Management noted the contents of the agenda note with regard to the initiation of disciplinary action against Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra, Assistant Registrar (Retd) in accordance with the report of the Inquiry Committee dated 31.01.2016 under Rule 9 of the CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972.

The Board of Management further noted that the following Articles of charge were leveled against Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra, Assistant Registrar (Retired), under Rule 9 of the Central Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1972 vide Memorandum issued on 1/5.6.2015 as per the report of the Preliminary Inquiry dated 01.04.2015:

- (i) Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra appeared at Sampurna Shastri Examination conducted by Shri Brihad Gujarat Sanskrit Parishad, Ahmedabad in the year 1979 without possessing the essential qualification for the said examination.
- (ii) Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra appeared at Sampurna Shastri Examination conducted by Shri Brihad Gujarat Sanskrit Parishad, Ahmedabad in connivance with some officer of the said Parishad by adopting fraudulent means and had neither informed the Vidyapeetha nor the said Parishad that he did not possess the essential qualification to appear in the Sampurna Shastri Examination.
- (iii) Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra did not seek permission from the competent authority of the Vidyapeetha to study in Shastri programme.
- (iv) Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra did not take any leave to appear at the Examination of Sampurna Shastri conducted by Shri Brihad Gujarat Sanskrit Parishad, Ahmedabad.
- (v) Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra had submitted copy of the said Shastri degree and marksheet for his promotion in the Vidyapeetha while the said degree was recognized by the Ministry of Education, Govt. of India only for appointment of teachers.



The Board of Management further noted that a Preliminary Inquiry was also conducted to inquire into the allegations dated 03.12.2014 and 27.01.2015 of Shri K.K. Sharma, former Section Officer of the Vidyapeetha and the said allegations as referred from (i) to (v) above were prima facie found true after conclusion of the Preliminary Inquiry. Subsequently, an Inquiry Committee was constituted on 14/17 July, 2015 to enquire into the charges. The Inquiry Committee submitted its Report on 31.01.2016 after proper examination of the statement dated 18.3.2015 of Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra, relevant facts and record. The Inquiry Committee also noted the contradictory facts emerged from the statement of Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra and report of Shri Brihad Gujarat Sanskrit Parishad, Ahmedabad. Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra submitted that he appeared at the Sampurna Shastri Examination of the said Parishad as Private candidate whereas the said Parishad found that Shri Vinod Mishra was a regular candidate. The said Parishad also stated that the statement of Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra was totally incorrect and could not be relied upon. The Inquiry Committee concluded that the charges leveled against Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra have been proved as detailed below:

- (i) As per the Examination Rule 102 & 103 of the Shri Brihad Gujarat Sanskrit Parishad, Ahmedabad (1978), Rule 102 provides that only the student who has already passed first year and second year of Shastri with Sanskrit from a recognized university could be admitted in second year and third year respectively, whereas as per Rule 103 a student who possessed Graduation degree in one subject or Shstri degree with modern subjects from a recognized university, if desires to appear in another subject of Shastri examination of the Parishad could appear for nine papers ("Ka"-Group Subjects) at Sampurna Shastri examination. Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra was not meeting the above-mentioned eligibility conditions. Therefore, the first charge was proved.
- (ii) There was a serious contradiction between the statement of Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra and findings of the said Parishad. Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra stated that he appeared at Sampurna Shastri examination as Private candidate whereas the said Parishad reported that Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra appeared as a regular students. Thus, Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra deceived the said Parishad and the Vidyapeetha.
- (iii) Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra did not obtain permission to study the Shstri course.
- (iv) Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra did not take any kind of leave to appear at the Sampurna Shastri examination.
- (v) Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra had submitted duplicate copies of the marksheet and degree of the said Shastri programme for his promotion.

After going through the documents on record and careful consideration of the case, the Board of Management accepted the findings of the Inquiry Committee in toto, at its meeting held on 31.05.2016. Further, after considering the record of the inquiry and the facts and circumstances of the case, the Board of Management has come to the conclusion that the Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra managed to appear at the Sumpurna Shastri Examination conducted by Shri Brihad Gujarat Sanskrit Parishad, Ahmedabad in the year 1979 without possessing the essential qualifications, in connivance with some officer of the Parishad by adopting fraudulent means. Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra also did not obtain permission of the competent authority of the Vidyapeeth for pursuing the Sampurna shastri course and also did not avail himself of any leave to appear at the said examination. Further, Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra submitted the marks-sheet and

certificate of the Sampurna Shastri examination issued by Shri Brihad Gujarat Sanskrit Parishad, Ahmedabad for his career Advancement/Promotion due to which he was promoted on 1.1.2002 as Section Officer by superseding his seniors namely, Shri K.K.Sharma, the complainant. The Board of Management has also come to the conclusion that promotions to the grade of Section Officer and further as Assistant Registrar were obtained by Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra on the basis of the Sampurna Shastri Degree, which was obtained by appearing at the examination fraudulently and in connivance with some officials of the said Parishad for which he was not eligible to appear. Therefore, the Board of Management has accepted the findings of the Inquiry Authorities that the Sampurna Sastri Degree obtained by Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra be treated as invalid and consequentially of the view that as he was not eligible for promotion as Assistant Registrar on 30.3.2011 he should retired as Section Officer on 30.4.2015.

The Board of Management noted that as per the Recruitment Rules framed by the Vidyapeetha, essential qualification as Graduation degree for promotion of in-service Assistant to the post of Section Officer was relaxed in 2008. However, as per the directives of the GoI and UGC duly adopted by the Vidyapeetha in its Recruitment Rules, no Section Officer could be promoted to the post of Assistant Registrar without possessing the Graduation degree.

***In view of the above, the Board of Management resolved that as a measure of rectification due to invalidation of Sampurna Shastri Degree obtained by Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra through clandestine and fraudulent means and to meet the ends of justice, Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra be deemed to have been promoted as Section Officer from the date when the essential qualification of Shastri/Graduation from a recognized University/Institution was relaxed for promotion to the grade of Section Officer i.e. 29.08.2008 and be retired as Section Officer. Further, the amount of excess payment received by him in terms of pay and allowance as a result of the promotions to the higher posts be recovered from his gratuity and pensionary benefits.***

***The Board of Management further resolved that since the misconduct along with other charges framed against Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra has been proved beyond doubt, the penalty of permanently withholding 10% of admissible pension due to be granted to Shri Vinod Kumar Mishra on his superannuation as Section Officer be imposed.***

**Item No.13.8 To consider the Report (s) dated 28.1.2016, 27.03.2016 and 22.05.2016 of the Inquiry Committee duly constituted by the BoM in respect of teachers to inquire into the allegations of their irregular appointment against the teaching posts of the Vidyapeetha.**

Dr. Harihar Trivedi and Dr. Sangeeta Khanna, members of the BoM recused themselves from the discussion and decision making process as their names were figuring in the list of 19 teachers. The Board of Management considered the matter pertaining to the reports (s) dated 27.03.2016 and 22.05.2016 of the Inquiry Committees in respect of 19 teachers keeping in view the observations of the Joint Secretary (CU & L) of the MHRD duly communicated to the Vidyapeetha vide letter No.1-5/2016-Skt.I dated 06.07.2016 regarding the resolution No. 12.6 and 12.8 dated 31.5.2016 of the BoM. The BoM noted

*PKP*

that no member has pointed out any discrepancies on the resolution No. 12.6 and 12.8. However, the Joint Secretary (CU & L) has advised to seek the advice of the UGC regarding the legality of the appointment of these teachers as the UGC is the Nodal Organization/Regulatory Body for setting the Minimum Educational Qualifications for teaching posts. In case the UGC clears the appointments of these teachers taking into consideration the Educational Qualifications at the time of their selection and the procedure adopted by the Selection Committee, the matter may be placed before the Board of Management for consideration.

Accordingly, after careful consideration, the Board of Management *resolved that the directive of the Joint Secretary (CU & L), M/o Human Resource Development, Govt. of India & Member (BoM) be followed and the reports (s) dated 28.1.2016, 27.03.2016 and 22.05.2016 of the Inquiry Committees along with all related documents in respect of Dr. Ram Raj Upadhyaya and 18 other teachers be referred to the UGC to examine the legality of the appointment of these teachers since the UGC is the Nodal Organization/Regulatory Body for setting the Minimum Educational Qualifications for teachers posts. If UGC clears the appointments of these teachers taking into consideration the Educational Qualifications at the time of their selection and the procedures adopted by the Selection Committees, the matter be placed before the BoM accordingly.*

*As regards the case of Dr. Neelam Thagela, the findings of the Inquiry Committee dated 22.05.2016 be accepted and Dr. Neelgam Thagela be directed to get her name published in the Gazette of India on observance of due procedures after which the charge may be considered to be dropped.*

*It was further resolved to confirm the decision on the table for implementation.*



(BK Mohapatra)  
Registrar & Secretary



(Ramesh Kumar Pandey)  
Vice-Chancellor & Chairperson